

Document No. 89	CONFIDENTIAL
No Change In Class. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Declassified	
Class. Changed to: TS S C	
Next Review Date: _____	
Auth.: NS 70-3	
Date: 070779	By: 070986

28 November 1947

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD:

On Friday 28 November 1947 at about 0800, I interviewed [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] at which time I was presented with the attached letter and proposed job description for the [REDACTED] slot-editor in the Wire Services Section. Both the attached letter and the job description are self-explanatory.

I informed [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] that I agreed with them that their jobs were not classified high enough and that every attempt had been made both by this office and the Central Intelligence Agency to have these jobs classified at grade CAF-11. I further informed them that reading between the lines in their letter I saw an attempt on their part to place the blame for their jobs being downgraded upon [REDACTED] the Chief of the Editorial Division, and that I was well aware of the fact that, because of the war-time arrangement whereby the Wire Services Section and the Reports Section of the Editorial Division were of equal importance and [REDACTED] had been the Chief of the Reports Section, there was still considerable resentment by members of the Wire Services Section toward being under [REDACTED] supervision. I assured them that this resentment was very much unwarranted and that [REDACTED] was equally as much concerned about what we both considered to be the low classification of not only the Wire Services Section but of the entire Editorial Division. Neither [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] stated specifically that they had reference to [REDACTED] in their letter when placing the responsibility for what they term a poorly written job description. However, they made no effort to deny that my suspicions were correct.

I informed them, however, that I did not consider the charges contained in their letter to be warranted, and furthermore that I considered the present job description a much more accurate and stronger job description than the one which they proposed. I also informed them that if they desired, I would forward their proposed job description and a letter to the Personnel Branch with my comments but that my comments would be to the effect that I did not consider it an improvement over the present job description and that I would recommend that no further action be taken on it at this time. [REDACTED] then requested that I not forward their letter or their proposed job description and that the entire matter, for the present, be dropped.

This document part of classified integrated file. NAME CHECK required prior to individual classification action.

Chief, [REDACTED]

Atts (2)

MISSING PAGE

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT MISSING PAGE(S):

ATTACHMENT